

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8
9 DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

10 United States of America,
11 Plaintiff,
12
13 vs.
14 Stuart Andrew Newell,
15 Defendant

No. CR-22-01128-PHX-DLR
ORDER
(First Request)

16 Upon motion of the defendant and no objection from the Government
17 and good cause appearing,

18 IT IS ORDERED granting the defendant's Motion to Continue Trial
19 and Pretrial Deadlines for the reasons stated in defendant's motion, including that
20 defense counsel needs additional time to prepare for trial.

21 This court specifically finds that the ends of justice served by taking
22 this action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy
23 trial. This finding is based on the court's conclusion that the failure to grant such a
24 continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel and
25 would deny the attorney for defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective
26 preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §
27 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
28

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED continuing the trial of this case from
2 November 11, 2022, to _____, 2022, at
3 _____ in _____, Arizona, and continuing the pretrial
4 motions deadline until _____, 2022.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any subpoenas previously issued
6 and served in this matter remain in effect and are answerable at the new trial date
7 and the party who served the subpoena should advise the witnesses of the new trial
8 date.

9 The Court finds excludable delay under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h) _____
10 to _____.